

10/683,625

PATENTAMENDMENT A (IN RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 20041206
(OFFICE ACTION DATED DECEMBER 10, 2004))REMARKS

Claims 1-18 were pending in this case. In the amendment hereinabove, claims 1-6 and 13-18 have been cancelled. As a result, claims 7-12 are now pending.

A. §102 Rejection

Claims 1-4 and 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Chen et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,016,002 ("*Chen*"). This rejection is respectfully traversed and it is submitted that this rejection is moot since these claims have been cancelled.

B. §103 Rejections

Claim 5 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Chen* in view of Brodsky et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0217425 ("*Brodsky*"). This rejection is respectfully traversed and it is submitted that this rejection is moot since these claims have been cancelled.

Claims 13-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Brodsky* in view of *Chen*. This rejection is respectfully traversed and it is submitted that this rejection is moot since these claims have been cancelled.

C. Allowable Claims

Claims 7-12 were identified as being allowed.


10/683,625

PATENTAMENDMENT A (IN RESPONSE TO PAPER NO. 20041206
(OFFICE ACTION DATED DECEMBER 10, 2004))D. Conclusion

Claims 7-12 remain pending in this case. With these claims already identified as being allowable, it is respectfully requested that this application immediately proceed to issuance.

Respectfully submitted,

VEDDER, PRICE, KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ, P.C.

Date: Feb. 7, 2005 By: 
Mark A. Dalla Valle
Reg. No. 34,147

Attorney for Assignee
222 N. LaSalle St., 24th Floor
Chicago, IL 60601
312-609-7500
Customer No.: 23,418

Atty. Docket No.: P05710 (11461.00.5710) - 6 -
CHICAGO/#1332902.1